

ington, D. C., alleging that on November 2 and 5, 1921, respectively, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, quantities of cider which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained an added ingredient, to wit, benzoate of soda, which lowered and reduced its standard of quality.

On December 5, 1921, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11233. Adulteration of cider. U. S. v. Steven Lefas. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 683-c.)

On December 5, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Steven Lefas, Washington, D. C., alleging that on November 14 and 18, 1921, respectively, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, quantities of apple cider which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained an added ingredient, to wit, sodium benzoate, which lowered and reduced its standard of quality.

On December 5, 1921, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11234. Adulteration of eggs. U. S. v. Isadore Holtz. Collateral of \$15 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 685-c.)

On December 14, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Isadore Holtz, Washington, D. C., alleging that on December 7, 1921, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of eggs which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in that they were filthy and decomposed.

On December 14, 1921, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$15 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11235. Adulteration of tincture of iodine. U. S. v. Peter J. Duncan. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 687-c.)

On December 31, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Peter J. Duncan, Washington, D. C., alleging that on November 16, 1921, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of tincture of iodine which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it was below standard.

On December 31, 1921, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11236. Adulteration of eggs. U. S. v. Isaac M. Kerviser. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 688-c.)

On January 4, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Isaac M. Kerviser, Washington, D. C., alleging that on December 27, 1921, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of eggs which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that they were decomposed.

On January 4, 1922, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11237. Adulteration of candy. U. S. v. Elmer Switzer, Mgr., J. C. McCrory Five and Ten Cent Store. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 689-c.)

On January 18, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Elmer Switzer, manager of J. C. McCrory Five and Ten Cent Store, Washington, D. C., alleging that on December 23, 1921, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia a quantity of candy which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a substance, to wit, starch, had been mixed and packed with the said article so as to lower and reduce and injuriously affect its quality.

On January 18, 1922, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11238. Adulteration of flour. U. S. v. Clyde Liggett. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 690-c.)

On March 1, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Clyde Liggett, Washington, D. C., alleging that on February 18, 1922, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of flour which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained a certain deleterious foreign substance, to wit, rat excreta.

On March 1, 1922, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11239. Adulteration of fish. U. S. v. George Dann. Collateral of \$10 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 691-c.)

On April 20, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against George Dann, Washington, D. C., alleging that on April 12, 1922, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of fish which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained a certain foreign and deleterious substance, to wit, dirt.

On April 20, 1922, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$10 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11240. Adulteration of milk. U. S. v. George Stathis. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 692-c.)

On May 23, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against George Stathis, Washington, D. C., alleging that on May 9, 1922, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butterfat, had been in whole or in part removed, thus reducing the quality of the said article.

On May 25, 1922, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*